Report to:	PLANNING COMMITTEE
Relevant Officer:	Gary Johnston, Head of Development Management
Date of Meeting:	13 June 2017

PLANNING APPLICATIONS AND APPEALS PERFORMANCE

1.0 Purpose of the report:

1.1 To update Members of the Planning Committee of the Council's performance in relation to Government targets.

2.0 Recommendation(s):

2.1 To note the report.

3.0 Reasons for recommendation(s):

- 3.1 To provide the Committee with a summary of current performance.
- 3.2a Is the recommendation contrary to a plan or strategy adopted or approved by the Council?
- 3.2b Is the recommendation in accordance with the Council's approved No budget?
- 3.3 Other alternative options to be considered:

None, the report is for information only,

4.0 Council Priority:

- 4.1 The relevant Council Priorities are:
 - "The economy: Maximising growth and opportunity across Blackpool"
 - "Communities: Creating stronger communities and increasing resilience"

5.0 Background Information

5.1 Members of the Planning Committee will be aware that the Government has set

targets for the determination of major and minor category planning applications and major and minor category appeals. These are speed and quality of decision targets and are currently –

Speed of major development decisions – 60% within 13 weeks or an agreed Extension of Time – for the period October 2015 to September 2017.

Speed of minor development decisions – 70% within 8 weeks or an agreed Extension of Time – for the period October 2015 to September 2017.

Quality of major development decisions – Loss of more than 10% of appeals – for the period April 2015 – March 2017.

Quality of non-major development decisions – Loss of more than 10% of appeals – for the period April 2015 – March 2017.

- 5.2 Figures are submitted quarterly to the Department of Communities and Local Government.
- 5.3 Performance up to April 2017 is detailed below.

	Government	Performance	Performance
	Target	Apr 2017	Jan-Mar 2017
Major			
development	>60%	67%	100%
decisions			
Minor			
development	>70%	100%	86%
decisions			
Quality of major			
development	>10%	n/a	n/a
decisions			
Quality of			
non major	> 10%	One appeal	No appeals allowed
development		allowed	
decisions			

5.4	List of Appendices
5.4.1	None
6.0	Legal considerations:
6.1	None
7.0	Human Resources considerations:
7.1	Performance is influenced by staffing numbers, sickness and leave.
8.0	Equalities considerations:
8.1	None
9.0	Financial considerations:
9.1	Poor performance puts the Council at risk of designation and the potential for loss of fee income.
10.0	Risk management considerations:
10.1	Under resourcing the service could lead to inability to respond to peaks in workload.
11.0	Ethical considerations:
11.1	None
12.0	Internal/ External Consultation undertaken:
12.1	Not applicable
13.0	Background Papers
13.1	None